XII-"38"(Jail)
C... ... R.-2000 6-88

CrA 233/89 (2 रजि०)

APPEAL OF PRISONER Division Bench (Criminal)

No. 9381 Name ए० प्रकाशचन्द्र राय

Father's name भानुधरन राय Residence आई.टी.आई. के सामने झोपड़ा धमतरी Age 25 वर्ष

Sentenced आजीवन कारावास on 16.1.1989

Under section 302 भा०दं०वि० by न्यायालय प्रथम अतिरिक्त सत्र न्यायाधीश दुर्ग (म.प्र.)

S.T. No. 61/88

it is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by legal practitioner the Appeliate Court will not proceed with the base for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days he may not heard at all. If the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1 Date of Application for copy of Judgement 16.1.1989

2 Date of which copy received 27.1.1989

3 Date on which Appeal sent 3.2.1989

4 whether the prisoner wishes to be represented or not – Yes / No.

No. 9381 Name प्रकाशचन्द्र राय आत्मज भानुधरन राय

Continued in केन्द्रीय जेल Jail रायपुर (म.प्र.)

No. 104/अपील/89 Dated 3.2.1989 198

Forward to the ~~CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE~~ माननीय अतिरिक्त पैंजियक महोदय उच्च न्यायालय मध्यप्रदेश जबलपुर (म.प्र.)

to gather which a copy of order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appelliate Court.

अधीक्षक, केन्द्रीय जेल रायपुर (म.प्र.) 7/2/89

Superintendent Jail

Date of receipt in C. J. M.'s office

Date of receipt record to accompany

Memo of Appeal of the Appeliate court

No Dated 198

Forwarded to the

C. J. Magistrate

Date of receipt in Appeliate Court

High Court of Madhya Pradesh
JABALPUR.
2 FEB 1989
275
Receipt Clerk,
High Court, Jabalpur.

(P. T. O.)

XI-HC-78

(12)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक Cr.A. 233/89 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	DB: HON. SHRI FAKHRUDDIN & HON. SHRI D.R. DESHMUKH, JJ **14-6-2005** Shri R.K.Sharma, Advocate for the appellant. Shri Ashish Shukla, Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Counsel pointed out that during the pendency of appeal, the appellant has, on completion of sentence, been released from the jail. Counsel contended that since the appellant has undergone the sentence and released, the appeal has become infructuous. In view of the submissions made by the counsel, the appeal stands disposed of as infructuous. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge** **Sd/-** **Dilip Raosaheb** **Deshmukh** **Judge**	
	H/-	

YOGENDRA SINGH KANWAR
Digitally signed by YOGENDRA SINGH KANWAR
Date: 2025.02.21 12:03:35 +0530